arXiv:math/0603129v1 [math.GR] 6 Mar 2006

THE STRUCTURE OF THE NORMALISERS OF THE CONGRUENCE SUBGROUPS OF THE HECKE GROUP G_5

Mong Lung Lang

Abstract. Let $\lambda = 2\cos(\pi/5)$ and let $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. Denote the normaliser of $G_0(\tau)$ of the Hecke group G_5 in $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ by $N(G_0(\tau))$. We prove that $N(G_0(\tau)) = G_0(\tau/h)$, where h is the largest divisor of 4 such that h^2 divides τ. Further, $N(G_0(\tau))/G_0(\tau)$ is either 1 (if $h = 1$), $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ (if $h = 2$) or $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ (if $h = 4$).

1. Introduction

In [LT], it is shown that $G_0(\tau) \subseteq G_5$ is self-normalised if τ is square free (G_5 is the Hecke group associated to $\lambda = 2\cos(\pi/5)$, an element of G_5 is in $G_0(\tau)$ if and only if its (2,1)-entry is a multiple of τ). In this article, we complete our study of the normaliser of $G_0(\tau)$. This is the first step towards the determination of the normalisers of the congruence subgroups of all Hecke groups G_q. Note that the normalisers of the congruence subgroups of $G_3 = PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, G_4, G_6 have been determined by Akbas-Singerman [AS], Atkin-Lehner [AL], Conway [C], and Lang [La]. Our main result is (Section 6) :

The Main Theorem. *Let (τ) be a nontrivial ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ and let $N(G_0(\tau))$ be the normaliser of $G_0(\tau)$ in $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then $N(G_0(\tau)) = G_0(\tau/h)$, where h is the largest divisor of $h_5 = 4$ such that h^2 divides τ. Further, $N(G_0(\tau))/G_0(\tau)$ is either 1 (if $h = 1$), $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ (if $h = 2$) or $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ (if $h = 4$).*

It is worthwhile to point out that as an application of our main theorem, the number 4 in the main theorem enjoys the following property (see Appendix A) :

Proposition A2. *If $r \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ is G_5-elementary (see the following definition), then r is a divisor of $h_5 = 4$.*

Definition. $x/y \in \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$ is in **reduced form** if $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ is a column vector of some $\sigma \in G_5$. An element $r \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ is called **G_5-elementary** if r satisfies the following property : Whenever x and y are chosen such that x/ry is in reduced form, x must admit the property that $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$.

It is known that the h satisfies the above proposition for $G_6 = PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is $h_6 = 24$ (Conway [C]) and that the number 24 plays a very important role in the description of the normalisers of congruence subgroups of G_3, G_4 and G_6 ([C], [CN], [La]). We suspect that the h_q for the ring associated to the set of finite cusps of G_q plays some role in the determination of the normalisers and hope that such possibility will be considered in the future. We do not know whether Proposition A2 can be proved

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F06.
Key words and phrases. congruence subgroups, Hecke groups.

without the usage of the main theorem. A weaker version of this proposition and its direct proof can be found in Appendix A (Proposition A4).

The remaining of this article is organised as follows. In section 3, we give a brief introduction of the reduced forms which a great detail can be found in [R]. We actually determine the reduced forms of certain members in $\mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$ which are useful in the determination of the normalisers of $G_0(\tau)$. Sections 4 and 5 give supergroups and subgroups of $N(G_0(\tau))$. Section 6 is devoted to the proof of the main theorem.

2. Known results

Theorem 2.1. (Theorem 8 of [LT]) *Let (τ) be a nontrivial ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ and let (τ_0) be the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ such that $(\tau_0^2) \subseteq (\tau)$. Then $N(G_0(\tau)) \subseteq G_0(\tau_0)$.*

Note that if $\tau = \prod p_i^{m_i}$ where the p_i's are primes, then $\tau_0 = \prod p_i^{m_i - \lfloor m_i/2 \rfloor}$, where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the largest integer less than or equal to x. Throughout this article, we let

$$[\tau] = \prod p_i^{m_i - \lfloor m_i/2 \rfloor}.$$

It is clear that if a and b are coprime to each other, then $[ab] = [a][b]$.

Lemma 2.2. (Lemma 1 of [CLLT]) *Let A be a non-zero ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. Then*

$$[G_5 : G_0(A)] = N(A) \prod_{P|A} (1 + N(P)^{-1}),$$

where the product is over the set of all prime ideals P which divide A and $N(A)$ is the absolute norm of A.

3. Reduced forms

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. x/y is in **reduced form** if $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ is a column vector of some $\sigma \in G_5$. The purpose this section is to determine the reduced forms of $3/n\lambda$, $9/n\lambda$ and $5/n\lambda$ which will be used in the proof of Propositions 4.3 and 4.4.

For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ such that the greatest common divisor of a and b is a unit, applying results of Leutbecher ([L1],[L2]), there exists a unique rational integer $e(a/b)$ such that

$$a\lambda^{e(a/b)}/b\lambda^{e(a/b)}$$

is in reduced form. We shall called $e(a/b)$ the **reduced factor** of a/b. Since the reduced forms play a central role in the determination of the normaliser (see Lemma 4.1), we shall now give an algorithm that enables us to determine the reduced factor.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda] \setminus \{0\}$ be given such that the greatest common divisor of a and b is a unit. Then there exists a unique rational integer q such that

(i) $a = (q\lambda)b + r$,
(ii) $-|b\lambda|/2 < |r| \leq |b\lambda|/2$.

We call such a division algorithm **pseudo-Euclidean** (see [R] for more details). In terms of matrices, the above can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -q\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r \\ b \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -q\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G$. Applying the pseudo Euclidean algorithm repeatedly, one has,

$$\begin{aligned} a &= (q_1\lambda)b + r_1\,, \\ b &= (q_2\lambda)r_1 + r_2\,, \\ r_1 &= (q_2\lambda)r_2 + r_3\,, \\ &\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots \\ &\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots \\ &\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots \\ r_k &= (q_{k+1}\lambda)r_{k+1} + r_{k+2}\,, \\ r_{k+1} &= (q_{k+2}\lambda)r_{k+2} + 0\,. \end{aligned}$$

The finiteness of the algorithm is governed by the fact that the set of cusps of G is $\mathbb{Q}[\lambda] \cup \{\infty\}$. Note that in terms of matrices, the above can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} r_{k+2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $A \in G_5$. It is clear that $\gcd(a,b) = \gcd(b,r_1) = \cdots = \gcd(r_{k+1}, r_{k+2}) = r_{k+2}$ is a unit (a and b are coprime). As

(i) $|r_{k+2}| < 1$,
(ii) λ is a primitive unit,

there exists $e(a/b) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $|r_{k+2}| = \lambda^{-e(a/b)}$. Multiplying (3.1) by $\lambda^{e(a/b)}$, one has

$$\begin{pmatrix} a\lambda^{e(a/b))} \\ b\lambda^{e(a/b)} \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $A \in G_5$ and $\pm 1/0$ is a reduced form, we conclude that $a\lambda^{e(a/b)}/b\lambda^{e(a/b)}$ is the reduced form of a/b and $e(a/b)$ is the reduced factor of a/b. Note that it is clear from the above argument that

$$e(a/b) = e(r_1/b) = \cdots = e(r_{k+1}/r_{k+2}). \tag{3.2}$$

The following lemma shows that if $m - n = kr$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $e(k/n\lambda) = e(k/m\lambda)$. Note that this equality does not hold for $e(k/n)$ and $e(k/m)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $x, u, v \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]^\times$. Suppose that $gcd(x,u)$ is a unit and that $(u-v)\lambda = xm\lambda$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $e(x/u\lambda) = e(x/v\lambda)$.*

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} u\lambda &= x(q_1\lambda) + r_1\,, \\ v\lambda &= x(q_2\lambda) + r_2\,, \end{aligned}$$

where $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $-|x|\lambda/2 < r_1, r_2 \leq |x|\lambda/2$. Since $(u-v)\lambda = xm\lambda$, we have $r_1 = r_2$. Applying equation (3.2), we have $e(x/u\lambda) = e(r_1/x) = e(r_2/x) = e(x/v\lambda)$. □

Example 3.2. Applying Lemma 3.1, the following gives the reduced factors of $p^a/n\lambda$, where $p \leq 11$ is a prime. These reduced forms will be useful in our study of Propositions 4.3 and 4.4.

$p^a/n\lambda$		$e(p^a/n\lambda)$	$p^a\lambda^{e(p^a/n\lambda)}$
$2/n\lambda$	$\gcd(n,2)=1$	2	$2(\lambda+1)$
$4/n\lambda$	$\gcd(n,2)=1$	2	$4(\lambda+1)$
$3/n\lambda$	$\gcd(n,3)=1$	3	$3(2\lambda+1)$
$9/n\lambda$	$n\equiv 1,8 \pmod 9$	3	$9(2\lambda+1)$
$9/n\lambda$	$n\equiv 2,4,5,7 \pmod 9$	9	$9(34\lambda+21)$
$5/n\lambda$	$\gcd(n,5)=1$	6	$5(8\lambda+5)$
$25/n\lambda$	$n\equiv 1,2,23,24 \pmod{25}$	6	$25(8\lambda+5)$
$25/n\lambda$	$n\equiv 3,6,19,22 \pmod{25}$	12	$25(144\lambda+89)$
$7/n\lambda$	$\gcd(n,7)=1$	6	$7(8\lambda+5)$
$11/n\lambda$	$n\equiv 1,10 \pmod{11}$	6	$11(8\lambda+5)$

Proof. Suppose that $\gcd(n,3)=1$. It follows that $n=3k\pm 1$. We have

$$n\lambda = 3\cdot k\lambda \pm \lambda\,,$$

$$3 = \lambda\cdot\lambda + (2-\lambda)\,,$$

$$\lambda = (2-\lambda)\cdot 3\lambda + (2\lambda-3)\,,$$

$$2-\lambda = (2\lambda-3)\cdot\lambda + 0\,.$$

As $2\lambda-3=\lambda^{-3}$, the reduced factor is 3 and the reduced form of $3/n\lambda$ is $3\lambda^3/n\lambda^4$. The rest can be calculated similarly. □

Example 3.3. $e((2\lambda-1)/12)=e((2\lambda-1)/96)=6$. $e((2\lambda-1)/192)=18$.

By Example 3.3, the reduced forms of $(2\lambda-1)/12$, $(2\lambda-1)/96$ and $(2\lambda-1)/192$ are $(18\lambda+11)/12(8\lambda+5)$, $(18\lambda+11)/96(8\lambda+5)$ and $(5778\lambda+3571)/192(2584\lambda+1597)$ respectively. The above reduced forms will be used in the remark of Lemma 5.1.

4. Supergroups of $N(G_0(\tau))$

The main purpose of this section is to prove that $N(G_0(\tau))\subseteq G_0(\tau/h)$, where h is the largest divisor of 4 such that $h^2|\tau$ (Theorem 4.5). In order to achieve this, we need two technical lemmas which can be found in subsection 4.1. The main result (Theorem 4.5) is in fact a corollary of Propositions 4.3 and 4.4.

4.1. **Technical Lemmas.**

The following two lemmas are useful towards the determination of a supergroup of $N(G_0(\tau))$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\tau,[\tau]\in\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ be given as in Theorem 2.1 and let u, w be chosen such that $u/w\tau$ is in reduced form. Suppose that $gcd(\tau/[\tau],u^2-1)=x$. Then $N(G_0(\tau))\subseteq G_0(\tau/x)$.*

Proof. Since $u/w\tau$ is a reduced form, by results of Leutbecher ([L1], [L2]), $G_0(\tau)$ has an element of the form

$$\sigma=\begin{pmatrix} u & v\\ w\tau & z\end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in N(G_0(\tau))$. We need to show that $A \in G_0(\tau/x)$. Equivalently, we need to show that c is a multiple of τ/x. By Theorem 2.1, c^2 is a multiple of τ. Since

$$A\sigma A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ cdu + wd^2\tau - c^2v - cdz & * \end{pmatrix} \in G_0(\tau),$$

we conclude that $cdu + wd^2\tau - c^2v - cdz = cd(u-z) + wd^2\tau - c^2v$ is a multiple of τ. It is clear that the last two terms in the expression are multiples of τ (c^2 is a multiple of τ). Hence

$$\tau | cd(u-z).$$

Since $\gcd(c,d) = 1$ and $[\tau]|c$, we have $\gcd(\tau, d) = 1$. Hence the above is the same as $\tau | c(u-z)$. Since both τ and c are multiples of $[\tau]$, we have

$$\frac{\tau}{[\tau]} \Big| \frac{c}{[\tau]}(u-z). \tag{4.1}$$

Hence

$$c/[\tau] \text{ is a multiple of } \frac{\tau/[\tau]}{gcd\,(\tau/[\tau], u-z)}\,. \tag{4.2}$$

We shall now determine $gcd\,(\tau/[\tau], u-z)$. Note first that $\gcd(u,\tau) = 1$. It follows that

$$gcd\,(\tau/[\tau], u-z) = gcd\,(\tau/[\tau], u(u-z)).$$

Since $uz - vu\tau = 1$ (this is the determinant of σ), the right hand side of the above equation becomes

$$gcd\,(\tau/[\tau], u(u-z)) = gcd\,(\tau/[\tau], u^2 - 1 - vw\tau) = gcd\,(\tau/[\tau], u^2 - 1) = x.$$

Statement (4.2) now can be rephrased as

$$c/[\tau] \text{ is a multiple of } \frac{\tau/[\tau]}{x}\,.$$

Therefore, c is a multiple of τ/x. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Definition. (**Principal Congruence Subgroups**). Let

$$G(\tau) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G_5 \,:\, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \pm I \ (mod\ \tau) \right\}.$$

Then $G(\tau)$ is a normal subgroup of G_5. $G(\tau)$ is called the principal congruence subgroup of G_5.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $V = \langle G_0(3^m\nu), G(\nu)\rangle$, where $gcd\,(3,\nu) = 1$. Then $V = G_0(\nu)$.*

Proof. Since there is nothing to prove if $m = 0$, we shall assume that $m \geq 1$. By Lemma 2.2, we have $[G_0(\nu) : G_0(3^m\nu)] = 3^{2m-2}10$. In order to show that $V = G_0(\nu)$, it suffices to show that V possesses more than $[G_0(\nu) : G_0(3^m\nu)]/2 = 3^{2m-2}5$ left $G_0(3^m\nu)$-cosets. Let

$$\Omega = \left\{ A_{xy} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ yN(\alpha)\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix} \,:\, 0 \leq x,\, y \leq 3^m - 1,\, gcd\,(3,y) = 1 \right\}.$$

It is clear that $\Omega \subseteq V$ and that V possesses $2 \cdot 3^{2m-1}$ elements ($2 \cdot 3^{m-1}$ choices of y and 3^m choices of x). Applying the fact that $\lambda^2 = \lambda + 1$, one can show by direct calculation that

$$A_{xy}G_0(3^m\nu) = A_{x'y'}G_0(3^m\nu) \text{ iff } y \equiv y',\ y^2(x - x') \equiv 0\ (mod\ 3^m).$$

Consequently, members in Ω give different $G_0(3^m\nu)$-cosets. Since $|\Omega| = 2 \cdot 3^{2m-1}$, we conclude that V has at least $2 \cdot 3^{2m-1} > 3^{2m-2}5$ left $G_0(3^m\nu)$-cosets. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

4.2. **Supergroups of $N(G_0(\tau))$.** In this section, we apply our results in section 4.1 and our knowledge about the reduced forms of $3/n\lambda$, $9/n\lambda$ and $5/n\lambda$ to give some more accurate description of the x's in Lemma 4.1. This is done in Proposition 4.3 and 4.4. As a corollary of these two propositions, we can show that $G_0(\tau/h)$ (see Theorem 4.5) is a supergroup for $N(G_0(\tau))$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\tau = 3^m\nu$ (3 is a prime in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$), where $gcd(3, \nu) = 1$. Then $N(G_0(\tau)) \subseteq N(G_0(\nu)) \subseteq G_0(\nu/\gcd(\nu/[\nu], 4)$.*

Proof. We shall first prove that $N(G_0(\tau)) \subseteq N(G_0(\nu))$: Let $x \in N(G_0(3^m\nu))$. We need show show that $x \in N(G_0(\nu))$. By Theorem 2.1, $x \in G_0([3^m\nu]) \subseteq G_5$. Since the principal congruence subgroup $G(\nu)$ is normal in G_5, we have $xG(\nu)x^{-1} = G(\nu)$. It follows that

$$V = \langle G_0(3^m\nu), G(\nu)\rangle \subseteq xG_0(\nu)x^{-1} \cap G_0(\nu) \subseteq G_0(\nu). \tag{4.3}$$

By Lemma 4.2, $V = G_0(\nu)$. Expression (4.3) now implies that $xG_0(\alpha)x^{-1} \cap G_0(\alpha) = G_0(\nu)$. This implies that $x \in N(G_0(\nu))$. Hence

$$N(G_0(\tau)) \subseteq N(G_0(\nu)).$$

We shall now prove that $N(G_0(\nu)) \subseteq G_0(\nu/\gcd(\nu/[\nu], 4)$: Let n be the smallest positive rational integer in (ν). Then $\gcd(n, 3) = 1$. By Example 3.2, the reduced form of $3/n\lambda$ is $3\lambda^3/n\lambda^4$. By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$N(G_0(\nu)) \subseteq G_0(\nu/x)\,,\ \text{where } x = gcd\,(\nu/[\nu], (3\lambda^3)^2 - 1). \tag{4.4}$$

By Example 3.2, the reduced form of $9/n\lambda$ is either $9\lambda^3/n\lambda^4$ (if $n \equiv 1, 8 \pmod 9$ or $9\lambda^9/n\lambda^{10}$ (if $n \equiv 2, 4, 5, 7 \pmod 9$).

(i) Suppose that $n \equiv 1, 8 \pmod 9$. By Lemma 4.1, we have $N(G_0(\nu)) \subseteq G_0(\nu/y)$, where

$$y = gcd\,(\nu/[\nu], (9\lambda^3)^2 - 1).$$

Applying (4.4), we have $N(G_0(\nu)) \subseteq G_0(\nu/y) \cap G_0(\nu/x)$. Since $G_0(u) \cap G_0(v) = G_0(\mathrm{lcm}\,(u, v))$ and $\gcd\,((3\lambda^3)^2 - 1, (9\lambda^3)^2 - 1) = 4$, we have

$$N(G_0(\nu)) \subseteq G_0(\nu/x) \cap G_0(\nu/y) = G_0(\nu/gcd\,(\nu/[\nu], 4)).$$

(ii) Suppose that $n \equiv 2, 4, 5, 7 \pmod 9$. By Lemma 4.1, we have $N(G_0(\nu)) \subseteq G_0(\nu/z)$, where

$$z = gcd\,(\nu/[\nu], (9\lambda^9)^2 - 1).$$

Applying (4.4), we have $N(G_0(\nu)) \subseteq G_0(\nu/z) \cap G_0(\nu/x)$. Since $G_0(u) \cap G_0(v) = G_0(\mathrm{lcm}\,(u, v))$ and $\gcd\,((3\lambda^3)^2 - 1, (9\lambda^9)^2 - 1) = 4$, we have

$$N(G_0(\nu)) \subseteq G_0(\nu/z) \cap G_0(\nu/x) = G_0(\nu/gcd\,(\nu/[\nu], 4)).$$ □

Similar to Proposition 4.3, we have the following proposition for the decomposition $\tau = \sqrt{5}^m \nu$ (note that the reduced form of $5/n\lambda$ is $5\lambda^6/n\lambda^7$ (Example 3.2) and that $(5\lambda^6)^2 - 1 = 16(225\lambda + 139)$, where the absolute norm of $225\lambda + 139$ is 29).

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\tau = \sqrt{5}\ ^m\nu$, where $gcd\,(\sqrt{5}, \nu) = 1$ (5 is not a prime). Then $N(G_0(\tau)) \subseteq N(G_0(\nu)) \subseteq G_0(\nu/\gcd(\nu/[\nu], 16(225\lambda + 139))$.*

Theorem 4.5. *Let (τ) be a nontrivial ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ and let $N(G_0(\tau))$ be the normaliser of $G_0(\tau)$ in $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then $N(G_0(\tau)) \subseteq G_0(\tau/h)$, where h is the largest divisor of 4 such that h^2 is a divisor of τ.*

Proof. Let $\tau = 2^a 3^b \sqrt{5}^{\,c} \alpha$, where $\gcd(\alpha, 6\sqrt{5}) = 1$. Applying Propositions 4.3 and 4.4, we have

$$N(G_0(\tau) \subseteq G_0\left(\frac{2^a\sqrt{5}^{\,c}\alpha}{gcd\left(\frac{2^a\sqrt{5}^{\,c}\alpha}{[2^a\sqrt{5}^{\,c}\alpha]}, 4\right)}\right) \cap G_0\left(\frac{2^a 3^b \alpha}{gcd\left(\frac{2^a 3^b\alpha}{[2^a 3^b \alpha]}, 16(225\lambda + 139)\right)}\right).$$

Since $[xy] = [x][y]$ whenever $\gcd(x, y) = 1$, $225\lambda + 139$ has absolute norm 29 and $G_0(x) \cap G_0(y) = G_0(\mathrm{lcm}\,(x, y))$, the above can be simplified into

$$N(G_0(\tau)) \subseteq G_0\left(\frac{2^a 3^b \sqrt{5}^{\,c}\alpha}{gcd\,(2^{a-\lfloor a \rfloor}, 4)}\right) = G_0\left(\frac{\tau}{gcd\,(2^{a-\lfloor a \rfloor}, 4)}\right). \tag{4.5}$$

Note that $gcd\,(2^{a-\lfloor a \rfloor}, 4)$ in equation (4.5) is nothing but the largest divisor h of 4 such that $h^2|\tau$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

5. Subgroups of $N(G_0(\tau))$

The aim of this section is to find large subgroups of $N(G_0(\tau))$. It is proved in Lemma 5.2 that the supergroups for $N(G_0(\tau))$ (Theorem 4.5) are actually the subgroups of $N(G_0(\tau))$ as well.

Recall that $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ is a principal ideal domain and 2 is a prime in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. An element τ in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ is even if $\tau = 2x$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. Unlike the rational integers $\mathbb{Z}$, the sum of two odd integers in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ does not have to be even (both 1 and λ are odd, yet the sum of 1 and λ is not even). As a consequence, the trace of $\sigma \in PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\lambda])$, where the (2,1) entry of σ is even, does not have to be even (see remark of lemma 5.1). However, as the following lemma shows, the trace of $\sigma \in G_5$ is even if the (2,1) entry of σ is even.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 2c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G_0(2)$. Then $a + d$, $a - d$ are even and $a^2 - 1$ is a multiple of 4.*

Proof. An easy study of a fundamental domain of $G_0(2)$ shows that $G_0(2)$ can be generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda + 1 & -\lambda - 2 \\ 2\lambda + 2 & -2\lambda - 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda + 1 & -\lambda \\ 2\lambda & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(see [LLT]). Note that

(a) the sum and difference between the (1,1) and (2,2) entries of the matrices above are even, (b) $(2\lambda + 1)^2 - 1$ is a multiple of 4.

As a consequence, the generators of $G_0(2)$ satisfy the conclusion of our lemma. Since every element of $G_0(2)$ can be written as a word of the generators of length n for some n, the lemma can be proved by applying induction on n. □

Remark. (a) An easy study of $\begin{pmatrix} 3\lambda-1 & \lambda \\ 2\lambda & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ shows that Lemma 5.1 does not hold for $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\lambda])$.

(b) The squares of the (1,1) entries of the following matrices (see Example 3.3) are not congruent to 1 modulo 8. This means that the second part of Lemma 5.1 ($a^2-1 \equiv 0 \pmod 4$) can not be improved for the following groups.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 6\lambda+5 & -\lambda \\ 6\lambda & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in G_0(6)\,, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 18\lambda+11 & * \\ 12(8\lambda+5) & * \end{pmatrix} \in G_0(12)\,,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 18\lambda+11 & * \\ 96(8\lambda+5) & * \end{pmatrix} \in G_0(96)\,, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 5778\lambda+3571 & * \\ 192(2584\lambda+1597) & * \end{pmatrix} \in G_0(192)\,.$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. Suppose that τ is even. Then the following holds.*

(a) *If $4|\tau$, then $G_0(\tau/2) \subseteq N(G_0(\tau))$.*
(b) *If $16|\tau$, then $G_0(\tau/4) \subseteq N(G_0(\tau))$.*

Proof. (a) Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c\tau/2 & d \end{pmatrix} \in G_0(\tau/2)$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w\tau & x \end{pmatrix} \in G_0(\tau)$. Then

$$ABA^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ cd(u-x)\tau/2 - c^2v\tau^2/4 + wd^2\tau & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $u-x$ is even (Lemma 5.1), τ is a multiple of 4 (our assumption), we conclude that the three terms in $cd(u-x)\tau/2 - c^2v\tau^2/4 + wd^2\tau$ are multiples of τ. Hence $cd(u-x)\tau/2 - c^2v\tau^2/4 + wd^2\tau$ is a multiple of τ. As a consequence, $ABA^{-1} \in G_0(\tau)$. This implies that $G_0(\tau/2) \subseteq N(G_0(\tau))$.

(b) Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c\tau/4 & d \end{pmatrix} \in G_0(\tau/4)$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w\tau & x \end{pmatrix} \in G_0(\tau)$. Then

$$ABA^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ cd(u-x)\tau/4 - c^2v\tau^2/16 + wd^2\tau & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since

(i) $u - x = (u^2 - 1 - vw\tau)/u$ ($\det B = ux - wv\tau = 1$),
(ii) $u^2 - 1$ is a multiple of 4 (Lemma 5.1),
(iii) τ is a multiple of 16 (our assumption),

we conclude that $u-x$ is a multiple of 4. Hence all three terms in $cd(u-x)\tau/4 - c^2v\tau^2/16 + wd^2\tau$ are multiples of τ. This implies that $cd(u-x)\tau/4 - c^2v\tau^2/16 + wd^2\tau$ is a multiple of τ. As a consequence, $ABA^{-1} \in G_0(\tau)$. Thus $G_0(\tau/4) \subseteq N(G_0(\tau))$. □

6. The Main Theorem : Normaliser of $G_0(\tau)$

The following theorem follows immediately by applying Theorem 4.5 and Lemma 5.2.

Theorem 6.1. *Let (τ) be a nontrivial ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ and let $N(G_0(\tau))$ be the normaliser of $G_0(\tau)$ in $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then $N(G_0(\tau)) = G_0(\tau/h)$, where h is the largest divisor of 4 such that h^2 is a divisor of τ.*

The following proposition determines the group structure of $N(G_0(\tau))/G_0(\tau)$.

Proposition 6.2. *$N(G_0(\tau))/G_0(\tau) = G_0(\tau/h)/G_0(\tau)$ is either 1 (if $h = 1$), $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ (if $h = 2$) or $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ (if $h = 4$).*

Proof. Recall first that $h^2|\tau$. There is nothing to prove for the case $h = 1$. In the case $h = 2$, $[N(G_0(\tau)) : G_0(\tau)] = [G_0(\tau/2) : G_0(\tau)] = 4$ (Lemma 2.2). For any $\sigma \in G_0(\tau/2)$, since $\tau/2$ is even, we have $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 2c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G_0(\tau/2) \subseteq G_0(2)$. Applying Lemma 5.1, the (2,1)-entry of σ^2, which is $2c(a + d)$, is a multiple of τ. Hence $\sigma^2 \in G_0(\tau)$. In particular, every nontrivial element in $G_0(\tau/2)/G_0(\tau)$ is of order 2. Hence $N(G_0(\tau))/G_0(\tau) = G_0(\tau/2)/G_0(\tau) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. We shall now assume that $h = 4$.

(i) $[N(G_0(\tau)) : G_0(\tau)] = [G_0(\tau/4) : G_0(\tau)] = 16$ and $G_0(\tau/4)/G_0(\tau)$ is abelian.

Proof of (i). By Lemma 2.2, $[G_0(\tau/4) : G_0(\tau)] = 16$. Let

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1\tau/4 & d_1 \end{pmatrix},\ \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2\tau/4 & d_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The (2,1)-entry of $\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}$ is

$$\frac{\tau}{4}[(a_2c_1 + d_1c_2)(c_2b_1\tau/4 + d_1d_2) - (a_1c_2 + d_2c_1)(c_1b_2\tau/4 + d_1d_2)]. \tag{6.1}$$

Since 16 divides τ,

$$(6.1) \equiv \frac{\tau}{4}d_1d_2((a_2 - d_2)c_1 + c_2(d_1 - a_1)) \pmod{\tau}. \tag{6.2}$$

Applying Lemma 5.1, $a_i^2 \equiv 1$ (mod 4). Since $a_id_i \equiv 1$ (mod 4), we conclude that $a_i - d_i \equiv 0$ (mod 4). Hence the right hand side of (6.2) is a multiple of τ. Hence $(6.1) \equiv 0$ (mod τ). Equivalently, the (2,1)-entry of $\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1}$ is a multiple of τ. Therefore, $\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1} \in G_0(\tau)$ for all $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in G_0(\tau/4)$. Hence $G_0(\tau/4)/G_0(\tau)$ is abelian.

(ii) $G_0(\tau/4)/G_0(\tau) \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$. *Proof of* (ii). Let

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c\tau/4 & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying Lemma 5.1, the (2,1)-entry of σ^4 is a multiple of τ. It follows that $\sigma^4 \in G_0(\tau)$. Hence every element in $G_0(\tau/4)/G_0(\tau)$ is of order 1, 2 or 4. Let $n/4$ be the smallest positive rational integer in $(\tau/4)$. The order of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ n\lambda/4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

is 4 in $G_0(\tau/4)/G_0(\tau)$. Since $G_0(\tau/4)/G_0(\tau)$ is abelian and every element in $G_0(\tau/4)/G_0(\tau)$ is of order 1, 2 or 4, we have

$$G_0(\tau/4)/G_0(\tau) \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \text{ or } G_0(\tau/4)/G_0(\tau) \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Suppose that we are in the latter case. It follows that a representative σ of some nonidentity element in $G_0(\tau/4)/G_0(\tau/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ is of order 2 in $G_0(\tau/4)/G_0(\tau)$. Let $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c\tau/4 & d \end{pmatrix}$ be such an element. Since σ is of order 2 in $G_0(\tau/4)/G_0(\tau)$, Then the (2,1)-entry of σ^2 must be a multiple of τ. Direct calculation shows that the (2,1)-entry of σ^2 is $c(a+d)\tau/4$. Since c is odd (this follows from the fact that $\sigma \notin G_0(\tau/2)$), we have $a+d$ is a multiple of 4. Since $ad \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ (determinant of σ is 1), we have $a^2 \equiv -1 \pmod 4$. A contradiction (see Lemma 5.1). Hence such σ does not exist. It follows that $G_0(\tau/4)/G_0(\tau) \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$. □

Remark. Unlike the modular group case, where $\Gamma_0(n/h) \subseteq N(\Gamma_0(n))$ (h is the largest divisor of 24 such that h^2 divides n), the number 3 does not play any role in the normaliser of $G_0(\tau) \subseteq G_5$. This can be seen in Theorem 6.1 or the following example, which shows that $N(G_0(9))$ does not contain $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Example. By Example 3.2, the reduced form of $4/9\lambda$ is $4\lambda^2/9\lambda^3$. It follows that $G_0(9)$ has an element of the form $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 4\lambda^2 & a \\ 9\lambda^3 & b \end{pmatrix}$. Direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4\lambda^2 & a \\ 9\lambda^3 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -3\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 21\lambda^3 - 9a\lambda^2 - 3b\lambda & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the determinant of σ is 1, $4b\lambda^2 \equiv 1 \pmod 9$. Hence $21\lambda^3 - 9a\lambda^2 - 3b\lambda$ is not a multiple of 9. In particular, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3\lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ does not normalise $G_0(9)$.

Appendix A

Definition A1. An element $r \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ is called **G_5-elementary** if r satisfies the following property : Whenever x and y are chosen such that x/ry is in reduced form, x must admit the property that $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod r$.

Proposition A2. *If $r \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ is G_5-elementary, then r is a divisor of $h_5 = 4$.*

Proof. Let r be elementary and let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ cr & d \end{pmatrix} \in G_0(r)$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ wr^2 & x \end{pmatrix} \in G_0(r^2)$. Then

$$ABA^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ cd(u-x)r - c^2vr^2 + wd^2r^2 & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $ux \equiv 1 \pmod{r^2}$ and r is elementary, we have $u - x \equiv 0 \pmod r$. Hence $cd(u-x)r - c^2vr^2 + wd^2r^2$ is a multiple of r^2. As a consequence, $ABA^{-1} \in G_0(r^2)$. This implies that $G_0(r) = G_0(r^2/r) \subseteq N(G_0(r^2))$. By Theorem 6.1, $N(G_0(r^2)) = G_0(r^2/h)$, where h is the largest divisor of 4 such that $h^2 | r^2$. It follows that $G_0(r) \subseteq G_0(r^2/h)$. This implies that r is a divisor of 4. □

Discussion. We do not have a direct proof of Proposition A2. The following weaker version about the reduced forms of G_5 does enjoy a proof without the usage of the main theorem.

Definition A3. $r \in \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ is called **strongly G_5-elementary** if every divisor of r is G_5-elementary.

Proposition A4. *Suppose that r is strongly G_5-elementary. Then r is a divisor of $h_5 = 4$.*

Proof. Suppose that r has a non-unit odd divisor r_1. Let $N(r_1) = r_1 s_1 = n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Example 3.2, the reduced form of $2/r_1 s_1$ is $2\lambda^2/n\lambda^3$. By our assumption,

$$(2\lambda^2)^2 = (2(\lambda+1))^2 = 12\lambda + 8 \equiv 1 \pmod{r_1}.$$

Hence $r_1 = 12\lambda + 7$ ($12\lambda + 7$ is a prime of norm 11). By Example 3.2, the reduced form of $3/r_1 s_1 \lambda$ is $3\lambda^3/11\lambda^4$. Direct calculation shows that $(3\lambda^3)^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{r_1}$. A contradiction. Hence r must be a power of 2. Let $r = 2^m$. Applying Lemma 5.1, $r = 2^2$ admits the above mentioned property. Since $(2\lambda+1)/2\lambda$ is in reduced form (see Lemma 5.1) and $(2\lambda+1)^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{2^3}$, 8 is not G_5-elementary. Hence r must be a divisor of $h_5 = 4$. □

References

[AL] A. O. L. Atkin, J. Lehner, *Hecke operators on $\Gamma_0(m)$*, Math. Ann. 185, (1970), 134 − 160.

[AS] M. Akbas, D. Singerman, *The normaliser of $\Gamma_0(N)$ in $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$*, Glasgow Math. J. 32 (1990), 317-327.

[C] J. H. Conway, *Understanding Groups like $\Gamma_0(N)$*, Groups, difference sets and the monster (Columbus, Ohio, 1993), Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., 4, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, 327-343.

[CLLT] S. P. Chan, M. L. Lang, C. H. Lim, S. P. Tan, *The invariants of the congruence subgroups $G_0(P)$ of the Hecke group*, Illinois J. of Math. 38 (1994), 636 − 652.

[CN] Conway, J. H., Norton, S. P., Monstrous Moonshine, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **11** (1979), 308-338.

[La] M. L. Lang, *Normalisers of the congruence subgroups of the Hecke groups G_4 and G_6*, I. of Number Theory. 90 (2001), 31-43

[LLT] M. L. Lang, C. H. Lim, S. P. Tan, *Independent generators for congruence subgroups of Hecke groups*, Math. Z. 220 (1995), 569 − 594.

[LT] M. L. Lang, S. P. Tan, *Normalisers of the congruence subgroups of the Hecke group G_5 II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.. 128 no. 8 (2000), 2271-2280.

[L1] A. Leutbecher, *Uber die Heckeschen Gruppen $G(\lambda)$*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hambg. 31 (1967), 199 − 205.

[L2] A. Leutbecher, *Uber die Heckeschen Gruppen $G(\lambda)$, II*, Math. Ann. 211 (1974), 63 − 68.

[R] D. Rosen, *A class of continued fractions associated with certain properly discontinuous group*, Duke Math. 21(1954), 549-563.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE,
SINGAPORE 117543,
REPUBLIC OF SINGAPORE

e-mail: matlml@math.nus.edu.sg